

DEHCHO PROCESS MAIN TABLE
Negotiation Session Follow Up from
September 14-16, 2010

SUBJECT MATTER	ISSUE / DESCRIPTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	STATUS
<p>1) DCRMA Outstanding item since June 3-4, 2009 session in YK</p>	<p>The DFN provided a paper on the DCRMA and tabled the document during the June 3-4, 2009 session in YK. The DFN have requested that Canada respond with a similar document, outlining the federal view of the DCRMA.</p>	<p>Canada</p>	<p>Canada has committed to providing a paper on the DCRMA although when Canada will be in a position to respond remains unclear.</p> <p>May 18, 2010 - Canada indicates that they are without instructions on the DCRMA but will take it back to see where it fits between the Main Table and John Pollard's mandates.</p>
<p>2) Dehcho Paper on Jurisdiction on and off Dehcho Ndehe Outstanding item since Sept 1-3, 2009 session in Trout Lake</p>	<p>The DFN tabled a paper on Dehcho Jurisdiction on and off Dehcho Ndehe.</p> <p>In June of 2010 the parties had a discussion on the non-land and resource sections of the paper.</p>	<p>Canada</p>	<p>Canada has committed to responding to the land and resource sections of the Dehcho's paper although when Canada will be in a position to respond remains unclear.</p>
<p>3) DFN Treaty 11 rights in the Yukon Outstanding item since Jan 14. 10 video conference</p> <p>DFN Treaty 8 rights in</p>	<p>The DFN asked what Canada's position was with respect to DFN Treaty 11 rights in the Yukon</p> <p>The DFN asked what Canada's position was</p>	<p>Canada</p>	<p>Canada committed to seeking instruction on this question and aims to respond at the October or November 2010 session.</p>

DEHCHO PROCESS MAIN TABLE
Negotiation Session Follow Up from
September 14-16, 2010

SUBJECT MATTER	ISSUE / DESCRIPTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	STATUS
<p>Alberta. Outstanding item since the May 18 session in Ottawa.</p>	<p>with respect to DFN Treaty 8 rights in Alberta.</p>		
<p>4) Harvesters Compensation Outstanding item since Jan 14. 10 video conference</p>	<p>Canada explained that the chapter, in its current draft, is still problematic because compensation still includes the Dehcho being compensated for a loss of harvesting of plants. The issue is that the absolute liability model is to apply only to wildlife harvesting. Canada requested the section that includes plants be removed and the DFN agreed to take this back to give it some further thought.</p>	<p>DFN</p>	<p>DFN committed to seeking instruction on this proposed revision to the chapter but would like to hear back from Canada on the Traditional Activities Advocate position.</p>
<p>5) Harvesters Compensation Outstanding item since January 14, 2010 video conference</p>	<p>DFN would like Canada to respond to whether it will support the creation of a Traditional Activities Advocate and contribute to the cost of the position.</p>	<p>Canada</p>	<p>Canada committed to seeking instruction on this question.</p>

DEHCHO PROCESS MAIN TABLE
Negotiation Session Follow Up from
September 14-16, 2010

SUBJECT MATTER	ISSUE / DESCRIPTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	STATUS
<p>5) Economic Measures – Tlicho and Implementation Outstanding item since Jan 14. 10 video conference</p>	<p>The parties discussed chapters that would be discussed at the next LTC meeting. One of the chapters identified for the next LTC (January 22) was Economic Measures.</p> <p>A) Patrick Scott asked Canada if it would be possible to get a summary from government on the Tlicho Economic Measures chapter and what has been implemented thus far.</p> <p>Canada explained that was a fairly big request and would need to seek instruction.</p> <p>B) At the April 7 video conference, the Dehcho ask Canada to also make a contribution to the chapter regarding its own economic measures surrounding government contracting and procurement.</p>	<p>Canada</p>	<p>On June 8th, Canada advised that the Tlicho are working on an annual report and that Canada is not able to provide a response without it. Canada has suggested that the Dehcho contact the Tlicho directly.</p> <p>On September 14, 2010, Canada committed to providing an update in the coming months, aiming for the December 2010 session.</p>
<p>6) Migratory Birds – Outstanding item since the May 18-20 session in Ottawa.</p>	<p>CWS is concerned by the breadth of the grouping in 2.2(b) and would like it clarified.</p>	<p>DFN</p>	<p>DFN to get back to Canada with the geographic scope.</p>
<p>7) Migratory Birds- Outstanding item since the May 18-20 session in Ottawa.</p>	<p>DFN wants to know the purpose of a geographic limitation for 2.2(b).</p>	<p>Canada</p>	<p>Canada to provide rational for a geographic restriction.</p>

DEHCHO PROCESS MAIN TABLE
Negotiation Session Follow Up from
September 14-16, 2010

SUBJECT MATTER	ISSUE / DESCRIPTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	STATUS
8) Language and Culture - Outstanding item since the June 2010 session in Yellowknife.	Chapter still coming together and a number of additions/clarifications are required.	DFN	DFN to bring forward a second draft before Canada and GNWT provide feedback.