

**DEHCHO PROCESS MAIN TABLE  
RECORD OF CONCLUSIONS  
From February 8-10, 2011 Negotiations**

<b>ISSUE</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>
<b><u>Updates:</u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An update for the Main Table is no longer required on the overlap issue, following the filing of the judicial review application.</li> </ul>
<b><u>General Provisions:</u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>General:</u> LTC to work on re-ordering Amendment provisions.</li> </ul>
<b><u>Migratory Birds:</u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>General:</u> Canada to take on the remaining definitions from the Migratory Birds chapter.</li> </ul>
<b><u>Expropriation:</u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>General:</u> DFN questioned, in a joint use area like Edehzie, which Aboriginal Government's laws would apply, T'liche or Dehcho? Canada indicated that it was linked to Pollard but it would take the question into consideration. DFN requested a footnote outlining example for follow-up.</li> </ul>
<b><u>Interpretation and Amendment:</u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>General:</u> LTC to work on re-ordering Amendment provisions.</li> <li>• <u>General:</u> GNWT to draft specific, technical Amendment provisions not already in the chapter which allow for the agreement to be changed.</li> </ul>
<b><u>Personal and Subsistence:</u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Definitions:</u> LTC to work on definitions of Harvest and Subsistence and Personal Use.</li> </ul>
<b><u>Dispute Resolution:</u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>DR 1.1:</u> DFN has requested a footnote to indicate their desire for mandatory mediation.</li> <li>• <u>Footnote:</u> LTC to address footnote 3 and changing timelines to "business days" instead of "days"</li> </ul>
<b><u>Adoption:</u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>A3 Information Sharing:</u> Footnote to be added to ensure that the Aboriginal rights of adopted children</li> </ul>

**DEHCHO PROCESS MAIN TABLE  
RECORD OF CONCLUSIONS  
From February 8-10, 2011 Negotiations**

<b>ISSUE</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>
	<p>are maintained.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Footnote:</u> Footnote to be added regarding the inherent jurisdiction of the Court of the NWT. Language could come from the Adoption paper tabled last May which reads “Nothing in this agreement affects the inherent jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of the NWT, including its jurisdiction with respect to children or legally incompetent persons.” May wish to add footnote to General Provisions since it relates to more than just adoption.</li> </ul>
<b><u>Child and Family Services:</u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>General:</u> Chapter to be redone with any small changes.</li> </ul>
<b><u>Governance:</u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LTC to consider the structure of the governance chapter and how it relates to what is found in each subject chapter – to return to the table with recommendations.</li> </ul>
<b><u>Jurisdiction:</u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>General:</u> Jurisdiction paper to be turned into a DFN proposed draft chapter.</li> <li>• <u>Footnote:</u> In that draft chapter a footnote should be added to indicate Canada will require fuller input from the Department of Fisheries and Oceans.</li> <li>• <u>Footnote:</u> In the draft chapter a footnote should be added to indicate that GNWT is still working on jurisdiction on Settlement Lands for renewable resources.</li> <li>• <u>Footnote:</u> In the draft chapter a footnote should be added to indicate the areas of jurisdiction affected by</li> </ul>

**DEHCHO PROCESS MAIN TABLE  
RECORD OF CONCLUSIONS  
From February 8-10, 2011 Negotiations**

<b>ISSUE</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>
	the Pollard process
<u><b>Certainty</b></u>	• <u>General</u> : LTC to work on adapting Ticho approach