

DEHCHO PROCESS MAIN TABLE
Negotiation Session Follow Up from
May 18-20, 2010

SUBJECT MATTER	ISSUE/ DESCRIPTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	STATUS
<p>1) Implementation Outstanding Item from May 12-13, 2009 session in Ft Simpson</p>	<p>During the May 2009 session, the DFN raised a number of issues that require further explanation and clarification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Why the implementation plan is not legally enforceable. • I.3.4 (c) not removing the monitoring role of the Implementation Committee and the importance of having a 3rd party, such as the IC, overseeing and monitoring the plan. <p>The Dehcho met with Implementation negotiator Craig Milne on Friday September 11, 2009 in Ottawa.</p>	<p>DFN</p>	<p>The Dehcho are to advise Canada on how they would like to proceed with respect to Implementation post the Sept 11.09 meeting.</p> <p>Still outstanding as of the May 18-20, 2010 session.</p>
<p>2) DCRMA Outstanding item since June 3-4, 2009 session in YK</p>	<p>The DFN provided a paper on the DCRMA and tabled the document during the June 3-4, 2009 session in YK. The DFN have requested that Canada respond with a similar document, outlining the federal view of the DCRMA.</p>	<p>Canada</p>	<p>Canada has committed to providing a paper on the DCRMA although when Canada will be in a position to respond remains unclear.</p> <p>May 18, 2010 - Canada indicates that they are without instructions on the DCRMA but will take it back to see where it fits between the Main Table and John Pollard's mandates.</p>

DEHCHO PROCESS MAIN TABLE
Negotiation Session Follow Up from
May 18-20, 2010

SUBJECT MATTER	ISSUE / DESCRIPTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	STATUS
3) Dehcho Paper on Jurisdiction on and off Dehcho Ndehe Outstanding item since Sept 1-3 session in Trout Lake	The DFN tabled a paper on Dehcho Jurisdiction on and off Dehcho Ndehe.	Canada	a) All parties have committed to holding discussions in June on the non-land and resource sections of the paper. b) Canada has committed to responding to the land and resource sections of the Dehcho's paper although when Canada will be in a position to respond remains unclear.
4) DFN Treaty 11 rights in the Yukon Outstanding item since Jan 14. 10 video conference DFN Treaty 8 rights in Alberta.	The DFN asked what Canada's position was with respect to DFN Treaty 11 rights in the Yukon The DFN asked what Canada's position was with respect to DFN Treaty 8 rights in Alberta.	Canada	Canada committed to seeking instruction on this question.

DEHCHO PROCESS MAIN TABLE
Negotiation Session Follow Up from
May 18-20, 2010

SUBJECT MATTER	ISSUE/ DESCRIPTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	STATUS
<p>Outstanding item since the May 18 session in Ottawa.</p>			
<p>5) Harvesters Compensation Outstanding item since Jan 14. 10 video conference</p>	<p>Canada explained that the chapter, in its current draft, is still problematic because compensation still includes the Dehcho being compensated for a loss of harvesting of plants. The issue is that the absolute liability model is to apply only to wildlife harvesting.</p> <p>Canada requested the section that includes plants be removed and the DFN agreed to take this back to give it some further thought</p>	<p>DFN</p>	<p>DFN committed to seeking instruction on this proposed revision to the chapter but would first like to hear back from Canada on the Traditional Activities Advocate position. Canada is reluctant to commit to paying for it.</p> <p>On April 16th, DFN committed to providing a detailed written description to the Traditional Activities Advocate.</p>
<p>6) Economic Measures – Tlicho and Implementation Outstanding item since Jan 14. 10 video conference</p>	<p>The parties discussed chapters that would be discussed at the next LTC meeting. One of the chapters identified for the next LTC (January 22) was Economic Measures.</p> <p>A) Patrick Scott asked Canada if it would be possible to get a summary from government on the Tlicho Economic Measures chapter and</p>	<p>Canada</p>	<p>Canada committed to seeking instruction on this question</p>

DEHCHO PROCESS MAIN TABLE
Negotiation Session Follow Up from
May 18-20, 2010

SUBJECT MATTER	ISSUE / DESCRIPTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	STATUS
	<p>what has been implemented thus far.</p> <p>Canada explained that was a fairly big request and would need to seek instruction.</p> <p>B) At the April 7 video conference, the Dehcho ask Canada to also make a contribution to the chapter regarding its own economic measures surrounding government contracting and procurement.</p>		
<p>7) Social Housing Outstanding item since the March 9-10 main table session in Hay River.</p>	<p>The DFN asked if the GNWT sees any relationship between land lord and tenant for the DFN, in either the residential or commercial context.</p>	<p>GNWT</p>	<p>GNWT has committed to checking into this and will respond at the June 8-10 session.</p>
<p>8) Eligibility & Enrolment Side Table Outstanding item since the May 18-20 main table session in Ottawa.</p>	<p>Development of an E&E Side Table Work Plan</p>	<p>DFN</p>	<p>DFN will develop a strategy on how to deal with many aspects of eligibility and enrolment.</p>
<p>9) Dehcho Culture and Heritage Chapter</p>	<p>DFN indicated that they may have a chapter ready to bring forward to the Main Table in June.</p>	<p>DFN</p>	<p>DFN to research the role of the Cultural Co-ordinator in the Tliche Intergovernmental</p>

DEHCHO PROCESS MAIN TABLE
Negotiation Session Follow Up from
May 18-20, 2010

SUBJECT MATTER	ISSUE / DESCRIPTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	STATUS
			Services Agreement and Tlicho Implementation Plan. Costs of this position are identified in Appendix D of the IP and position description is found in Section 8 of the ISA. DFN to report back to the Main Table on their findings.
10) Adoption - Outstanding item since the May 18-20 session in Ottawa.	DFN questioned why, under Court Proceedings, if a child is adopted under Dehcho law, it is necessary to apply to GNWT to certify the adoption.	GNWT	GNWT to provide rational for this wording.
11) Migratory Birds - Outstanding item since the May 18-20 session in Ottawa.	CWS concerned by the breadth of the grouping in 2.2 (b) and would like it clarified.	DFN	DFN to get back to Canada with the geographic scope.
12) Migratory Birds- Outstanding item since the May 18-20 session in Ottawa.	DFN wants to know the purpose of a geographic limitation for 2.2(b)	Canada	Canada to provide rational for a geographic restriction.
13) Community Lands- Outstanding item since the May 18-20 session in Ottawa	DFN wish to initiate discussion about community lands and the GNWT's jurisdiction there.	GNWT	GNWT to obtain information from MACA on communities in the Dehcho region and their size.